



P-ISSN: 2394-1685
E-ISSN: 2394-1693
Impact Factor (RJIF): 5.38
IJPESH 2024; 11(3): 15-17
© 2024 IJPESH
<https://www.kheljournal.com>
Received: 25-02-2024
Accepted: 30-03-2024

Dr. Dattatray Damodhar Karangale
Associate Professor, Department of Physical Education, Indira Gandhi Sharirik Shikshan Mahavidhyalaya, Hanuman Nagar, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Dattatray Damodhar Karangale
Associate Professor, Department of Physical Education, Indira Gandhi Sharirik Shikshan Mahavidhyalaya, Hanuman Nagar, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Examining the relationship between weight training and motor skills in college basketball players

Dr. Dattatray Damodhar Karangale

Abstract

The primary aim of this study was to elucidate the impact of weight training on the multifaceted development of motor fitness components, encompassing vital attributes such as speed, agility, flexibility, explosive power, cardiovascular endurance, and muscular endurance. To achieve this objective, a cohort of 120 students enrolled in various colleges within the Nagpur district, affiliated with RTM Nagpur University, Maharashtra, was recruited as participants.

These individuals were specifically selected from among basketball players, where proficiency in the aforementioned motor fitness components holds paramount importance. With an average age of 21 years, spanning from 18 to 28 years, the participants represented a diverse demographic cohort reflective of the collegiate basketball community.

A stringent random sampling technique was employed to allocate these participants into two distinct groups: a control group and an experimental group, each comprising 60 subjects. Throughout the 12-week duration of the study, the experimental group diligently engaged in a structured training regimen encompassing weight training sessions alongside skill-specific training activities. Conversely, the control group continued with their routine activities without any prescribed intervention.

Data collection occurred at the onset and conclusion of the 12-week experimental period, with assessments conducted both before and after the intervention to delineate pre- and post-test measurements. These assessments served as crucial benchmarks for evaluating the efficacy of the weight training program in augmenting the targeted motor fitness components among collegiate basketball players.

In summary, the study sought to unravel the intricate interplay between weight training and the development of key motor fitness attributes, shedding light on the potential avenues for enhancing athletic performance and overall physical conditioning within the basketball community.

Keywords: Agility, cardiovascular, endurance and muscular endurance, explosive power, flexibility, motor fitness components, speed, weight training

Introduction

Basketball epitomizes the essence of team dynamics, where two opposing factions, typically comprising five individuals each, engage in a riveting contest within the confines of a rectangular court. Their ultimate goal? To navigate the strategic complexities of the game, aiming to propel a spherical object, known as a basketball, through the elevated hoop stationed at either end of the court—a hoop measuring 18 inches in diameter, perched 10 feet above the ground on a backboard.

Scoring is an intricate affair, with a field goal yielding two points, unless executed beyond the designated three-point line, whereupon it merits three. Temporal interludes punctuate the frenetic pace of play, offering respite for foul adjudication, wherein the aggrieved player is granted an opportunity to amass points via one, two, or three free throws. Victory is bestowed upon the team amassing the highest point tally by the game's conclusion, albeit a stalemate necessitates an additional period, commonly referred to as overtime.

The artistry of basketball lies in its multifaceted maneuvers—be it the finesse of the layup, the precision of the jump shot, or the sheer athleticism of a dunk. Defensive prowess is equally lauded, with players adept at interception, shot-blocking, and strategic positioning to thwart their adversaries. Violations, however, invite censure, whether it be the lifting of a pivot foot sans dribble, the act of carrying the ball, or the resumption of dribbling post-handling.

Strategic roles delineate the player's on-court responsibilities, ranging from the towering

presence of the center to the nimbleness of the point guard. The game's genesis can be traced back to the inventive genius of James Naismith in 1891, an epochal moment transpiring in Springfield, Massachusetts. Since its inception, basketball has burgeoned into a global phenomenon, with the NBA reigning as the preeminent professional league, boasting unrivaled talent and viewership.

International competitions, such as the FIBA Basketball World Cup and the Men's Olympic Basketball Tournament, showcase the prowess of national teams, while regional showdowns like EuroBasket and FIBA AmeriCup foster continental rivalries. In the realm of women's basketball, the WNBA and EuroLeague Women stand as bastions of excellence, offering a platform for top-tier athletes to showcase their skills on a global stage. Indeed, the saga of basketball transcends mere sport—it is an emblem of unity, competition, and unbridled passion, captivating audiences worldwide with its exhilarating brand of athleticism and camaraderie.

Statement of the problem

The primary objective of this investigation was to elucidate the impact of weight training regimens on the motor fitness components and skill performance variables exhibited by collegiate basketball athletes.

- Hypothesis 1 posited that discernible disparities in motor fitness components would manifest subsequent to a rigorous 12-week weight training program administered to the experimental group.
- Conversely, Hypothesis 2 conjectured that no significant differentials in motor fitness components would emerge among the members of the control group following the identical 12-week duration of weight training sessions.

Review of the related literature

In 2005, Rahaman Rahimi *et al.* undertook a comprehensive investigation into the impact of plyometric, weight, and combined plyometric-weight training regimens on anaerobic power and muscular strength. Their study meticulously examined the effects of these three distinct training protocols on vertical jump performance, anaerobic power, and muscular strength among a cohort of 48 male college students.

These participants were judiciously divided into four experimental groups, each consisting of 14 individuals, while a control group comprising 4 subjects was also established. Prior to commencing the 6-week training period, assessments were conducted to gauge vertical jump height, performance in a 50-yard run, and maximal leg strength. Subsequently, the subjects in each training group diligently adhered to a structured regimen, participating in training sessions twice weekly, while the control group refrained from engaging in any form of training activity.

The collected data underwent rigorous analysis employing a one-way analysis of variance, utilizing a repeated measure design. The outcomes of this meticulous analysis unequivocally demonstrated that all implemented training treatments resulted in significant ($p < 0.05$) enhancements across all tested variables.

Methodology

The methodology encompassed a meticulous series of steps, ranging from the careful selection of participants and variables to the establishment of criterion measures, ensuring

data reliability, conducting experiments with precision within the experimental group, and employing appropriate statistical techniques for data analysis.

For this particular study, the demographic targeted individuals aged between 18 to 28 years, comprising a sample of 120 male college basketball players drawn from the Nagpur district. These participants were subjected to a random allocation process, dividing them into two distinct groups: an experimental cohort and a control cohort.

The experimental group embarked on a structured weight training regimen, meticulously designed to enhance their physical conditioning. Conversely, the control group abstained from engaging in any organized physical activity throughout the duration of the study.

Both before and after the training intervention, comprehensive assessments were conducted to evaluate a spectrum of motor abilities, including speed, agility, flexibility, explosive power (both in the legs and arms), cardiovascular endurance, and muscular endurance. These pre- and post-tests provided invaluable insights into the efficacy of the weight training program on the aforementioned motor ability variables.

Collection of Data

Information pertaining to the chosen motor fitness components variables was meticulously gathered in accordance with the outlined methodology. This entailed conducting assessments both prior to the commencement of the experimental phase (pre-tests) and upon its culmination after a duration of 12 weeks (post-tests). Throughout this 12-week experimental period, data regarding the effects were systematically recorded across all variables. Notably, participants were strictly prohibited from engaging in any additional training programs during this time frame, ensuring the integrity and consistency of the study's findings.

Results

The data underwent a comprehensive analysis aligned with the study's objectives and hypotheses, employing a diverse array of statistical methodologies to scrutinize and elucidate the interrelated variables.

To compare the control and experimental groups, an independent t-test was employed, enabling a nuanced examination of any discernible disparities between the two cohorts. Furthermore, the paired t-test was utilized to meticulously evaluate the differences in scores observed between the pre-test and post-test assessments within each group, thereby offering insights into the efficacy of the intervention over time.

In order to account for potential confounding variables, particularly the initial differences in pre-test scores, an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was conducted. This sophisticated statistical technique allowed for a more precise assessment of the discrepancies between the control and experimental groups while controlling for baseline variations.

Additionally, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was executed to ascertain the presence of significant disparities between the two groups concerning their pre-test scores, ensuring homogeneity and bolstering the validity of the subsequent analyses. Through this meticulous and rigorous statistical approach, the study aimed to derive robust conclusions regarding the effects of the intervention on the targeted variables.

Table 1: Comparison Between Pre-test and Post-test Scores of Motor Fitness Components of College Basketball Players in Control and Experiment Group

| Groups | Test | Mean | SD | Mean Diff. | SD Diff. | Paired <i>t</i> | <i>P</i> -value |
|------------------|-----------|------|------|------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Control group | Pre-test | 4.02 | 0.42 | | | | |
| | Post-test | 3.99 | 0.39 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 1.6519 | 0.1039 |
| Experiment group | Pre-test | 4.04 | 0.26 | | | | |
| | Post-test | 3.68 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.19 | 14.1913 | 0.0001* |

* $p < 0.05$ **From the results of the above Table 1, it can be seen that**

- In the control group of college basketball players, a meticulous examination of pre-test and post-test scores for motor fitness components revealed no statistically significant variance ($t = 1.6519$, $p > 0.05$) at a significance threshold of 5%. Consequently, the null hypothesis is upheld while the alternative hypothesis is dismissed. This outcome indicates that the initial (pre-test) scores (4.02 ± 0.42) and subsequent (post-test) scores (3.99 ± 0.39) for motor fitness components among control group players exhibit a noteworthy similarity. In essence, the findings suggest that the implemented weight training regimen did not yield discernible improvements within the control group.
- Conversely, within the experimental group, a striking contrast emerged. A meticulous analysis of pre-test and post-test scores for motor fitness components revealed a significant discrepancy ($t = 14.1913$, $p < 0.05$) at a significance level of 5%. Consequently, the null hypothesis is refuted while the alternative hypothesis is substantiated. This finding underscores that the initial (pre-test) scores (4.04 ± 0.26) for motor fitness components among experimental group players were notably higher compared to the subsequent (post-test) scores (3.68 ± 0.30). In essence, the results suggest that the implemented weight training program yielded marked improvements within the experimental group, underscoring its efficacy in enhancing motor fitness components among collegiate basketball players.

Discussion

The findings of the study underscore the pivotal role of weight training in enhancing various motor fitness components, including speed, agility, flexibility, explosive power, cardiovascular endurance, and muscular endurance. This assertion is grounded in the compelling evidence that systematic weight training programs have yielded notable improvements across these diverse domains. The efficacy demonstrated by such programs underscores their potential as a cornerstone in athletic development.

Given the promising outcomes witnessed thus far, there exists a compelling impetus for researchers to embark on further investigations at a broader scale. Delving into more intricate details through additional research endeavors promises to unveil deeper insights into the mechanisms underlying the beneficial effects of weight training. This imperative for expanded inquiry underscores the significance of advancing our understanding of optimal training methodologies, thereby fostering continual advancement in athletic performance and human potential.

Conclusion

Drawing upon a meticulous analysis of the data, conducted within the confines of the present investigation's limitations, it becomes evident that the pre- and post-test scores pertaining to the motor fitness components of college basketball players

exhibit distinct patterns within the control and experimental groups.

In the control group, a notable similarity emerges between the pre- and post-test scores, suggesting minimal variance in the observed motor fitness component outcomes over the course of the study period. Conversely, within the experimental group, a striking disparity becomes apparent. Here, the post-test scores notably lag behind their pre-test counterparts, indicating a discernible decline in motor fitness component performance subsequent to the implementation of the weight training program.

This comparative analysis underscores the divergent trajectories experienced by the control and experimental groups, thereby highlighting the differential impact of the weight training intervention on the motor fitness components of collegiate basketball players.

References

1. O'Shea JP, O'Shea KL, Climstem M. The effect of six weeks of squat plyometric and Squat plyometric training on power production. *J Strength Cond Res.* 1992;6:36-41.
2. Diallo O, Dore E, Duche P, Van Praagh E. Effects of plyometric training followed by a reduced training programme on physical performance in prepubescent soccer players. *J Sports Med Physical Fitness.* 2001;41:342-348.
3. Radcliffe JC, Farentinos RC. *Polymeric: Exercise Power Training.* Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics Publishers Inc.; c1985.
4. Bobbert MF. Drop jumping as a training method for jumping ability. *Sports Med.* 1990;9:722.
5. Fry RW, *et al.* Periodization of training stress- a review, *Canadian Journal of Sports sciences.* 1992;17(3):234-240.
6. Homenkova LS. *General Aspects of the Sports Training System Fitness and Sports Review International;* c1992. p. 74-75.
7. Hill AV. *Living Machinery: In Six Lectures Delivered at the Royal Institution, London: Bell;* c1945. p. 1-45.
8. Dick WF. *Sports training principles.* London: Lepus Books; c1980.
9. Harre D. *Principles of sports training.* Berlin: Sporulated; c1982.
10. Jensen RC, Fisher AG. *Scientific basis of athletic conditioning.* Philadelphia: Lea and Fibiger, 2nd Edn.; c1979.
11. Singh H. *Sports Training, General Theory and Methods.* Patials: NSNIS; c1984.
12. Uppal AK. *Sports Training, New Delhi: Friends Publication;* c1999.