



P-ISSN: 2394-1685
E-ISSN: 2394-1693
Impact Factor (RJIF): 5.38
IJPESH 2023; 10(1): 421-423
© 2023 IJPESH
www.kheljournal.com
Received: 27-12-2022
Accepted: 29-01-2023

Vijeta Soni
Physical Education Teacher,
Directorate of Education,
Punjabi University, Patiala,
Punjab, India

Jyoti Patel
Physical Education Teacher,
Directorate of Education,
Punjabi University, Patiala,
Punjab, India

Corresponding Author:
Vijeta Soni
Physical Education Teacher,
Directorate of Education,
Punjabi University, Patiala,
Punjab, India

A comparative study of selected anthropometric variables of judo and wrestling medalists of Delhi University

Vijeta Soni and Jyoti Patel

Abstract

The purpose of the study was to compare the anthropometric measurements of Judo and wrestling medalists of Delhi University, a total of 100 male players (i.e. 50 Judokas and 50 Wrestlers) were purposively selected to act as subjects for the proposed study. The age of the subjects ranged between 18 to 25 years and the mean age of the subjects was found to be 21 ± 2.19 years. The subjects were sports persons with medals in Inter Collegiate level competition in their respective sports discipline from different colleges of Delhi University. Calf Skin-Fold measurements of Wrestlers were significantly higher than judo players, statistically no significant difference was observed in the biceps, triceps, thigh, measurement of judo and wrestling players. Arm Length, Palm Length and Shoulder Width of Judokas were significantly higher than the wrestling players, statistically no significant difference was observed in the Leg Length and Wrist Width Measurement between the judo and wrestling players and a significant difference has been found in the arm length and shoulder width of Judokas and Wrestlers.

Keywords: Anthropometry, wrestling, judo

Introduction

Sports performance is a multidimensional product of athlete's capacities and their interaction with athletic environment. Being multidimensional suggests that a variety of factors are involved in actually attaining performance goals (Roy, 1986) ^[13].

In order to give the best possible performance at any of the competitions the assistance of scientific disciplines is sought. Induction of the basic principles of science, physical education and sports has become a subject of scientific research. Now various special branches of science such as anthropometric, biomechanics, physiology of exercises, psychology of sports, sociology of sports etc. have been established which are connected with better performance in sports. New techniques have been evolved, based on insight and understanding of the sports researchers. Astounding performance in sports activities after revival of the modern Olympics have witnessed the result of this scientific approach adopted by the physical education and sports. Smt. Indira Gandhi had rightly said that science applied to sports has enabled modern youth to develop physical capacities beyond anything earlier imagined. Sports have become competitive and records are being broken at an increasing rate (Helina, 2009) ^[7].

Anthropometry, a quantitative interface between anatomy and physiology, provides appraisal of an individual's structural status as well as provides quantification for different growth and training influences. There have been reports on investigations on the relationships between Anthropometry and physical performance parameters and sport performance. It was examined that the influence of Anthropometry characteristics and physical performance on the competition results and suggested that Anthropometry characteristics and physical performance are closely correlated to each others. Anthropometry helps the sport scientists to identify the anthropometric characteristics to predict the performance. Requirement of sport-specific physique for superior performance in sports had been reported by different studies. Anthropometry measurements in relation to performance has been reported in different sports viz. basketball players, volleyball players from colleges and universities of North India, national level athletes from Bangladesh institute of sports, wrestlers from Colombia, Indian elite male hockey players, track and field athletes, volleyball players, baseball players, softball

players, water sports athletes, football players, handball players and gymnastic players (Douda, 2008) [14].

The term was first used by Ross *et al.* (1980) in the year 1972. It means the application of measurement to the study of human size, shape, proportion, compositions, maturation and gross function. The purpose is always to understand human movement in the field of growth, exercise performance and nutrition. The word Anthropometry is derived etymologically from Greek Words Anthrepos meaning “man” and matrecin meaning “to measure (Rose, 1980) [15].”

Anthropometry provides a convenient framework for the study of human body. It studies quantitative, interaction between human structure and human function. It is defined by international society for the advancement of Anthropometry (I.S.A.K.) as “a scientific specialization dealing with the measurement of man in variety of morphological perspectives its application to movement and those factors which influence movement including composition, shape and maturation, motor abilities and cardio-respiratory capacities, physical activities including recreational activity as well as highly specialized sports performance”.

Anthropometry is the study of human size, shape, proportion and composition maturation and gross function in order to help understand growth exercises, performance and nutrition Anthropometry is a vehicle for individual to contribute to basic research and application in medicine, education and government and also as a science has so far driven maximum potential of development for the science of sports and physical education. For the high performance athletes, as Ross explains, the gross function is represented in kind by the sport event and level by the selection as well as relative success in

the competition.

Objectives and Hypothesis

The following objectives were set for the study

- To assess the selected Skin fold measurements, and linear measurements of male Judo and Wrestling medalists of Delhi University.
- To compare the skin fold measurements of male Judo and Wrestling medalists of Delhi University.
- To compare the linear measurements of male Judo and Wrestling medalists of Delhi University.

Based on the objectives following hypothesis were framed

- There would be no significant difference in skin fold measurement i.e. biceps, triceps, thigh, calf, between male Judo and Wrestling medalists of Delhi University.
- There would be no significant difference in linear measurement i.e. leg length, arm length, wrist girth, shoulder width between male Judo and Wrestling medallists of Delhi University.

Procedure and Methodology

The purpose of the study was to compare the anthropometric measurements of Judo and wrestling medalists of Delhi University, a total of 100 male players (i.e. 50 Judokas and 50 Wrestlers) were purposively selected to act as subjects for the proposed study. The age of the subjects ranged between 18 to 25 years and the mean age of the subjects was found to be 21 ± 2.19 years. The subjects were sports persons with medals in Inter Collegiate level competition in their respective sports discipline from different colleges of Delhi University.

Results and Discussions

Table 1: Descriptive Analysis of the selected anthropometric measurement of Judo Players

Anthropometric Measurements	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
Biceps Skin-Fold	50	2.92	0.44
Triceps Skin-Fold	50	2.81	0.37
Calf Skin-Fold	50	32.11	1.78
Thigh Skin-Fold	50	6.39	0.95
Leg Length	50	36.05	1.64
Arm Length	50	36.89	1.64
Wrist Girth	50	5.79	0.83
Shoulder Width	50	37.38	1.71

Table no. 1 clearly depicts the anthropometric measurement of judo players, which shows that the mean and standard deviation of biceps skin fold, triceps skin fold, calf skin fold, thigh skin fold, leg length, arm length, wrist length and

shoulder width are found to be 2.92 ± 0.44 , 2.81 ± 0.37 , 32.11 ± 1.78 , 6.39 ± 0.95 , 36.05 ± 1.64 , 36.89 ± 1.64 , 5.79 ± 0.83 and 37.38 ± 1.71 respectively.

Table 2: Descriptive Analysis of the selected anthropometric measurement of wrestling Players

Anthropometric Measurements	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
Biceps Skin-Fold	50	3.02	0.50
Triceps Skin-Fold	50	2.75	0.31
Calf Skin-Fold	50	33.99	2.39
Thigh Skin-Fold	50	6.65	0.89
Leg Length	50	36.10	1.75
Arm Length	50	27.10	1.72
Wrist Girth	50	5.27	0.93
Shoulder Width	50	36.17	1.88

Table no. 2 clearly depicts the anthropometric measurement of wrestling players, which shows that the mean and standard deviation of biceps skin fold, triceps skin fold, calf skin fold, thigh skin fold, leg length, arm length, wrist length and

shoulder width are found to be 3.02 ± 0.50 , 2.75 ± 0.31 , 33.99 ± 2.39 , 6.65 ± 0.89 , 36.10 ± 1.75 , 27.10 ± 1.72 , 5.27 ± 0.93 and 36.17 ± 1.88 respectively.

Table 3: Independent Sample 't' test of anthropometric Measurements between the Judo and Wrestling players

Variables		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference
Biceps	Equal variances assumed	0.960	0.329	-0.943	98	0.348	-0.08	0.09
	Equal variances not assumed			-0.943	96.839	0.348	-0.08	0.09
Triceps	Equal variances assumed	1.133	0.290	0.547	98	0.586	0.03	0.06
	Equal variances not assumed			0.547	94.549	0.586	0.03	0.0
Calf	Equal variances assumed	4.091	0.046	-2.619*	98	0.010	-1.10	0.42
	Equal variances not assumed			-2.619*	90.631	0.010	-1.10	0.42
Thigh	Equal variances assumed	0.258	0.612	-1.330	98	0.187	-0.24	0.18
	Equal variances not assumed			-1.330	97.694	0.187	-0.24	0.18
Leg Length	Equal variances assumed	0.545	0.462	1.529	98	0.129	0.52	0.34
	Equal variances not assumed			1.529	97.645	0.129	0.52	0.34
Arm Length	Equal variances assumed	1.738	0.190	2.483*	98	0.015	0.76	0.30
	Equal variances not assumed			2.483*	92.194	0.015	0.76	0.30
Wrist Girth	Equal variances assumed	0.693	0.407	1.267	98	0.208	0.22	0.17
	Equal variances not assumed			1.267	96.826	0.208	0.22	0.17
Shoulder width	Equal variances assumed	1.513	0.222	2.305*	98	0.023	0.8300	0.36
	Equal variances not assumed			2.305*	97.083	0.023	0.8300	0.36

Table No. 3 Clearly depicts the values for Independent sample 't' test between Judo and Wrestling players for the selected anthropometric Measurements, which shows that a significant difference has been found in the Arm Length, and Shoulder Width as the value is found to be 2.483, and 2.305 respectively which is significant at 0.05 level, whereas no significant difference has been found in the biceps skin fold, triceps skin fold, calf skin fold, thigh skin fold, Leg Length, Wrist Width Measurement as the values are not significant at 0.05 level.

Conclusions

Following conclusions were drawn from the obtained results

- Calf Skin-Fold measurements of Wrestlers were significantly higher than judo players.
- Statistically no significant difference was observed in the biceps, triceps, thigh, measurement of judo and wrestling players.
- Arm Length, Palm Length and Shoulder Width of Judokas were significantly higher than the wrestling players.
- Statistically no significant difference was observed in the Leg Length and Wrist Width Measurement between the judo and wrestling players.
- A significant difference has been found in the arm length and shoulder width of Judokas and Wrestlers.

References

1. Bhattacharya AK. Play Game, Sports and Physical Education. Prachesta, Department of Physical Education, University of Kalyani, Calcutta; c1981.
2. Bouchard C, Shephard RJ. Physical activity, fitness and health: The model and key concepts In: C Bouchard, RJ Shephard, T Stephens (Eds.): Physical Activity Fitness and Health: International Proceedings and Consensus Statement, Human Kinetics, Champaign (III); c1994. p. 77-88.
3. Catikkas F, Kurt C, Atalag O. Kinanthropometric Attributes of Young Male Combat Sports Athletes. Coll Antropol. 2013;37(4):1365-1368.
4. Chelladurai A. Measurement for Evaluation in Physical Education (2nd ed). New Delhi: Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Company Limited; c1985.
5. Fukuda DH, Stout JR, Kendall KL, Smith AE, Wray ME, Hetrick RP. The effects of tournament preparation on anthropometric and sport-specific performance measures

in youth judo athletes J Strength Cond Res. 2013;27(2):331-9

6. Gabbett TJ. Physiological and anthropometric characteristics of amateur rugby league players. Br J Sports Med. 2000;34(4):303-307
7. Gopinathan P, Helina G. Correlation of selected Anthropometric and Physical Fitness Variables to Handball performance, Journal of sports and sports sciences. 2009;32(1):25-30
8. Kaur, *et al.* Conducted a Study on "Correlation of the Selected Kin-anthropometric Variables with Basketball Shooting Ability, Souvenir Book, National Conference on Global Trends in Physical Education and Sports, Shaheed Kanshi Ram College of Physical Education, Bhagoo Majra, Kharar, Punjab. 2011;1(1):16.
9. Lauback LL, McConville JT. Relationship between Flexibility, Anthropometry and the somato-type of Collage men" Research Quarterly. 1966;37:241-251.
10. Luciana Zaccagni. Anthropometric characteristics and body composition of Italian national wrestlers, European Journal of Sport Science. 2012 March;12(2):145151.
11. Chuhan MS. Selected Kinthropometric Characteristics with Accuracy Performance of Handball Players", International Journal of Social Science & Interdisciplinary Research. 2012 December;1(12). ISSN 2277-3630.
12. Manju Chahal, Deepak Hooda. Comparative Study of Kinanthropometric Measurements of Judo and Wrestling Male Players of Sirsa District. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development. 2014;1(7):132-134.
13. Roy R, Paulraj A, Kailath T. ESPRIT--A subspace rotation approach to estimation of parameters of cisoids in noise. IEEE transactions on acoustics, speech, and signal processing. 1986 Oct;34(5):1340-2.
14. Douda HT, Toubekis AG, Avloniti AA, Tokmakidis SP. Physiological and anthropometric determinants of rhythmic gymnastics performance. International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance. 2008 Mar 1;3(1):41-54.
15. Rose-Ackerman S. Risk taking and re-election: Does federalism promote innovation? The Journal of Legal Studies. 1980 Jun 1;9(3):593-616.