



P-ISSN: 2394-1685  
E-ISSN: 2394-1693  
Impact Factor (RJIF): 5.38  
IJPESH 2022; 9(5): 246-249  
© 2022 IJPESH  
[www.kheljournal.com](http://www.kheljournal.com)  
Received: 26-08-2022  
Accepted: 28-09-2022

**Dr. Mulchand Sharma**  
Principal, Bharatmata Physical  
T.T. College, Kishanganj, Baran,  
Rajasthan, India

## Exploration study of state anxiety between team sport and individual sport players of R.T.M. Nagpur University

**Dr. Mulchand Sharma**

### Abstract

State anxiety is a major factor affecting performance of players and no player and sports team can perform in the state of anxiety so dealing with competitive state anxiety is a big task for any coaches and sports trainer. In the present study, the investigator aimed towards comparing the Cognitive anxiety, somatic anxiety and self confidence between the male team game and individual game players. The statistical population used in this study contained team sports (Baseball, Softball and Cricket) and individual sports (swimming, athletics and boxing) in RTM Nagpur University, Nagpur, Maharashtra. Samples were male players who had competed in the intercollegiate competition. The total number of subjects was 120 male players (50 Team Sports & 50 Individual Sports). The age of the subjects ranged between 17-21 years, state Competitive Anxiety Inventory (CSAI-2) for individual and team game players were administered to the subjects within 20 minutes prior to the start of the competition, each questionnaire took approximately 5 minutes to complete. The collected data was analyzed by computing descriptive statistics followed by one way analysis of variance, a significant difference for the cognitive and somatic anxiety as the values are found to be 5.872 and 4.982 respectively, which are significant at 0.05 level, whereas no significant difference is found for the self confidence.

**Keywords:** Competitive state anxiety, somatic anxiety, cognitive anxiety, self confidence, team, individual sports

### Introduction

Competition anxiety is the term for when an athlete experiences anxiety symptoms when faced with what they consider high-stakes competition. In these situations, they might show the physical manifestations of anxiety, such as sweaty palms, shallow breathing, pounding heart, and negative mind chatter. Despite their talent and months (if not years) of physical training to prepare their bodies to compete, the anxious athlete's mind sabotages their best intentions.

Competition can cause athletes to react both physically (somatic) and mentally (cognitive), which can negatively affect their performance abilities. Stress, arousal and anxiety are terms used to describe this condition.

The major problem in a competition is letting your mind work against you rather than for you. You must accept anxiety symptoms as part and parcel of the competition experience; only then will anxiety begin to facilitate your performance. Gallwey (2000) explains the elements of interference that impact performance.

Performance = Potential - Interference.

Competitive anxiety is one of the most thoroughly examined topics in sport psychology literature. This is mainly due to the perceived detrimental effects anxiety has on performance, creating the negative view most individuals hold of this concept. Anxiety is defined as feelings of nervousness and tension caused by the environment or surrounding expectation that is related to 'arousal'. These demands are usually stressful, indicating to the athletes a perception of imbalance between the demand given and their abilities to fulfill the demand (Gould, 2002). Dealing with competitive state anxiety is a main task for coaches because players and sport teams could not perform when they are under stress. Players could not perform at their best like they usually could because of anxiety. Consequently, their performance is affected during the competition and they seldom achieve victory (Patsiaouras, A. 2008) [6].

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Dr. Mulchand Sharma**  
Principal, Bharatmata Physical  
T.T. College, Kishanganj, Baran,  
Rajasthan, India

State anxiety is generally regarded as an unpleasant emotional reaction related to stressful situations, in which the arousal component is one inherent element (Woodman 2001) <sup>[10]</sup>. An important distinction between arousal and anxiety is that anxiety involves interpretation of the situation as threatening, whereas arousal is unrelated to any such interpretations ((Hammermeister, 2001) <sup>[9]</sup>. Moreover, anxiety has been suggested as a better predictor of the performance outcome than arousal when the tasks are of a more complex nature and contain a higher cognitive load (Arent, 2002).

Multidimensional theory were developed by Martens and colleagues (1990a). The multidimensional theory proposed that anxiety three subscales cognitive anxiety, somatic anxiety and self-confidence. Cognitive anxiety is defined as “the mental component of anxiety and is caused by negative expectations about success or by negative selfevaluation” (Martens *et al.*, 1990a, p. 5). Second element of anxiety is somatic anxiety, that defined by Martens *et al.* (1990a), “refers to the physiological and affective elements of the anxiety experience that develop directly from autonomic arousal” (p. 5). Martens *et al.* (1990b) <sup>[4]</sup> have suggested that somatic anxiety should affect performance in a curvilinear fashion, with both lower and higher levels of somatic anxiety being detrimental to performance. “It is likely to reach its peak at the onset of competition and dissipate once the contest begins” (p. 124). Therefore, somatic anxiety, due to its time course, is thought to have less of an influence on performance than does cognitive anxiety (Martens *et al.*, 1990b) <sup>[4]</sup>.

A third element of competitive state anxiety discussed by Martens *et al.* (1990b) <sup>[4]</sup> is self-confidence. This encompasses the athlete’s global perceptions of confidence. Although not originally proposed as a subcomponent of anxiety, Martens *et al.* have since included self-confidence in their study of the anxiety/ performance relationship. They have proposed a positive linear relationship between self-confidence and performance.

The findings of various research works regarding competitive state anxiety in athletes have had contradictory results. Pigozzi (2008) showed the skill level of athletes is an important factor for control of competitive state anxiety. The research conducted by Soltani and *et al* (2012) <sup>[13]</sup> confirmed that elite athletes have lower levels of competitive state anxiety than non-elite athletes. The study of Joel *et al.* (2009) and Cristina (2004) <sup>[5]</sup> showed that the kind of sport, nature of sport (individual sport or team sport) and gender of athletes are affecting factors on their performance. Howard Zhenhao Zeng (2002) <sup>[3]</sup> compared the levels of cognitive State anxiety, Somatic state anxiety, and competitive trait anxiety for varsity athletes between team sports and individual sports. Results showed that Competitive State Anxiety scores for team sports was significantly higher than that of individual sport athletes. Mohsenpour (2002) studied state anxiety among male athletes of individual and team sports and concluded that there was no significant difference between somatic factor of group and individual examinable items but athletes of major group obtained lower cognitive grades than individual athletes (Mohsenpour, 2002).

According to Hanton, Abriyon and Malaliyo anxiety levels before and during competition are not clear due to conflicting findings, various athletes have reported different levels of anxiety from much to low (Hanton, 2000 & Mellalieu, 2005). Behzadi (2012) & Adem Civan (2010) reported significant difference in levels of competitive state anxiety among team sports and individual sports athletes. On contrast Passand (1997); Perry and Williams (1998) <sup>[12]</sup> have not reported

significant difference in high, moderate or low level of anxiety. It seems that the levels of competitive state anxiety in team and individual sport athletes is not clear. With respect to the fact that every sport field has its own special nature, and also the results of most of the researches done are not in accordance with each other, therefore lack of a comprehensive theory in this field made the author to take action and compare competitive state anxiety among team sport and individual sport athletes in Iran.

### **Anxiety - Performance Relationship Theory Drive Theory**

According to the Drive Theory (Zajonc 1965) <sup>[7]</sup> if an athlete is appropriately skilled, then it will help them to perform well if their drive to compete is aroused - they are "psyched up".

### **Inverted-U hypothesis**

An alternative approach to Drive Theory is the Inverted-U hypothesis (Yerkes 1908) <sup>[2]</sup> which predicts a relationship between arousal and performance approximates to an inverted U shape. The theory is that as arousal is increased, performance improves but only up to a certain point (top of the inverted U). If the athlete's arousal is increased beyond this point, then performance diminishes.

### **Multidimensional Anxiety Theory**

Multidimensional Anxiety Theory (Martens 1990) is based on the distinction between cognitive anxiety and somatic anxiety. The theory makes a series of predictions:

- There will be a negative but linear relationship between cognitive anxiety and performance
- There will be an inverted U relationship between somatic anxiety and performance
- Somatic anxiety should decline once the performance begins, but cognitive anxiety may remain high if confidence is low

### **Catastrophe Theory**

Catastrophe Theory (Hardy 1987) suggests that:

- Stress and anxiety will influence performance each athlete will respond in a unique way to competitive anxiety
- The performance will be affected in a unique way which may be difficult to predict using general rules

### **Optimum Arousal Theory**

According to the Optimum Arousal Theory (Hanin 1997), each athlete will perform at their best if their level of arousal or competitive anxiety falls within their optimum functioning zone. The coach's challenge is to determine the athlete's zone and identify the techniques that will place the athlete in this zone before a competition.

### **How do you measure Anxiety?**

Sports psychologists have used psychometric tests or sports anxiety questionnaires (SAQ) to understand and measure this condition. Spielberger (1966) argued that it was necessary to distinguish between momentary states and more permanent traits.

- Anxiety states (A-state) is our response to a particular situation (i.e. skydiving)
- Anxiety traits (A-trait) are the characteristics of our personality, our general anxiety level

Marten (1990) developed anxiety traits (A-trait)

questionnaires that were tailored specially to a sport known as the Sport Competition Anxiety Test (SCAT). Marten (1990) recognised that any measure of sports anxiety must take into consideration cognitive anxiety (negative thoughts, worry) and somatic anxiety (physiological response). The Competitive State Anxiety Inventory or CSAI-2 considers the difference between A-state and A-trait and distinguishes between cognitive and somatic anxiety.

### Objectives and Hypothesis

Keeping in mind the purpose of the study following objectives were framed:

- To assess the competitive anxiety of male team game and individual game players.
- To compare the Cognitive anxiety between the male team game and individual game players.
- To compare the somatic anxiety between the male team game and individual game players.
- To compare the self confidence between the male team game and individual game players.
- Based on the objectives following hypothesis were framed:
- There would be no significant difference in the cognitive anxiety of male team game and individual game players.
- There would be no significant difference in the somatic anxiety of male team game and individual game players.
- There would be no significant difference in the self confidence of male team game and individual game players.

### Procedure and Methodology

The statistical population used in this study contained team sports (Baseball, softball and Cricket) and individual sports (swimming, athletics and boxing) in RTM Nagpur University, Nagpur, Maharashtra. Samples were male players who had competed in the intercollegiate competition. The total number of subjects was 120 male players (50 Team Sports & 50 Individual Sports). The age of the subjects ranged between 17-21 years, state Competitive Anxiety Inventory (CSAI-2) for individual and team game players were administered to the subjects within 20 minutes prior to the start of the competition, each questionnaire took approximately 5 minutes to complete. The collected data was analyzed by computing descriptive statistics followed by one way analysis of variance.

### Results and Discussions

**Table 1:** Levene's Homogeneity Test

Variables	Groups	Levene Statistic	Sig.
Cognitive Anxiety	Team game and Individual game Players	0.491	0.420
Somatic Anxiety	Team game and Individual game Players	0.275	0.759
State Self Confidence	Team game and Individual game Players	0.455	0.242

Table No. 1 clearly depicts the amount of Levene Statistic of cognitive anxiety, somatic anxiety and self confidence, which are found to be 0.491, 0.275 and 0.455 respectively. The obtained p-value is 0.420, 0.759 and 0.242 respectively ( $p > 0.05$ ). Therefore team sports and individual sport male players are homogenous in terms of the selected variables.

**Table 2:** Descriptive Analysis of the Selected Variables for male Team and Individual game players

Variable	Group	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
Cognitive Anxiety	Individual game players	50	15.98	4.01
	Team game players	50	15.08	2.88
Somatic Anxiety	Individual game players	50	15.74	4.02
	Team game players	50	14.22	2.21
Self Confidence	Individual game players	50	25.12	2.98
	Team game players	50	25.89	2.22

Table No. 2 clearly depicts the values for descriptive analysis of the selected variables, which shows that the mean and standard deviation values for cognitive anxiety, somatic anxiety and self confidence for individual game players is found to  $15.98 \pm 4.01$ ,  $15.74 \pm 4.02$  and  $25.12 \pm 2.98$  respectively, whereas that for team game players is found to be  $15.08 \pm 2.88$ ,  $14.22 \pm 2.21$  and  $25.89 \pm 2.22$  respectively.

**Table 3:** One way analysis of variance of cognitive anxiety, somatic anxiety and self confidence between team and individual game players

Variables	Group	L	Sig.
Cognitive Anxiety	Individual game players		
	Team game players	5.872*	0.012
Somatic Anxiety	Individual game players		
	Team game players	4.982*	0.022
Self Confidence	Individual game players	1.98	0.221
	Team game players		

Table No. 3 clearly depicts the values for one way analysis of variance for the selected variables between the team and individual game players, which shows that there is a significant difference for the cognitive and somatic anxiety as the values are found to be 5.872 and 4.982 respectively, which are significant at 0.05 level, whereas no significant difference is found for the self confidence.

### Discussions

A significant difference in cognitive anxiety between individual game players and team game players, it may be due to the fact that fear of failure is a stronger predictor of cognitive anxiety for individual sport players than for team sport athletes given the potential accountability for failure placed on individual sport athletes. Athletes who participate in individual sports have also been found to experience more anxiety than those who play team sports (Flowers, 2002). For athletes in high-contact sports the possibility of getting hurt can also be a source of anxiety. It seems that in individual sports, the athletes are more engaged in their own skills and abilities, while in team sports they are affected by their team members and their performance will depend on the performance of the group. The role assigned to the athlete in team sports may not correspond to their inner role.

A significant difference in somatic anxiety between team sport players and individual sport players, it seems that athletes who participate in individual sports have been found to experience more anxiety than those who play team sports. Common sense suggests that being part of a team alleviates

some of the pressure experienced by those who compete alone (Arlin and Guide, 2010). This finding is consistent with predictions that competitive situations elicit both cognitive and somatic anxiety. Also Martin and Hall research demonstrated that Skaters experienced greater somatic and cognitive anxiety prior to an individual competitive event than prior to a team competition. Maybe this is because of a diffusion of responsibility that occurs in the team framework but not in an individual framework (Shamshad, A., 2005) <sup>[1]</sup>.

## References

1. Shamshad Ahmed. Psychological basis of physical education. India., Gyan books; c2005.
2. Simon JA, Martines R. SCAT as a predictor of A-states in varying competitive athletes. *Psychological Review*. 1977;74:55-51.
3. Howard Z, Zeng H. The Differences between Anxiety and self- confidence between Team and Individual Sports College Varsity Athletes. *International sports journal/ winter*; c2002.
4. Martens R, Burton D, Vealey RS, Bump LA, Smith DE. Development and validation of the Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2 (CSAI-2). In R. Martens, R.S. Vealey, & D. Burton (Eds.), *Competitive anxiety in sport*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics. c1990b. p. 192-208.
5. Cristina A. Anxiety and performance in table tennis players. *J Sport Psychol*. 2004;24:185-204.
6. Patsiaouras APZ, Haritonidis K, Nikolaidis D, Keramidis Thessaly PJ. The use of the personcentered approach for the reduction of the state-trait anxiety in baseball players. *Journal of Sport Psychology*. Thessaly; c2008.
7. Flowers R, Brown C. Effects of sport context and birth order on state anxiety. *Journal of Sport Behavior*. 2002;25:41-55.
8. Gould D, Greenleaf C, Krane V. Arousal-anxiety and sport behavior. In T.S. Horn (Ed.), *Advances in sport Psychology* (2nd ed.) Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics. c2002. p. 207-280).
9. Hammermeister J, Burton D. Stress, appraisal, and coping revisited: Examining the antecedents of competitive state anxiety with endurance athletes. *The Sport Psychologist*. 2001;15:55-90.
10. Woodman T, Hardy L. *Stress and anxiety*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; c2001.
11. Pigozzi A, *et al.*, Role of exercise stress test in master athletes. *Br J Sports Med*. 2004;29:527521. doi: 10.1125/bjism.
12. Perry JD, Williams JM. Relationship of intensity and direction of competitive trait anxiety to skill level and gender in tennis. *The Sport Psychologist*. 1998;12:159-179.
13. Soltani H, Surender Reddy K. Comparative Analysis of Competitive State Anxiety among Elite and Non Elite karate Athletes in Iran. *Advances in Environmental Biology*. 2012;7(7):1244-1248.