



International Journal of Physical Education, Sports and Health

P-ISSN: 2394-1685
E-ISSN: 2394-1693
Impact Factor (ISRA): 5.38
IJPESH 2020; 7(3): 357-360
© 2020 IJPESH
www.kheljournal.com
Received: 09-03-2020
Accepted: 10-04-2020

Dr. T Onima Reddy
Associate Professors,
Department of Physical
Education, BHU, Varanasi,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Dr. Vikram Singh
Associate Professors,
Department of Physical
Education, BHU, Varanasi,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Correlation of long jump performance and selected angular kinematical variables during flight of hang style technique in long jump

Dr. T Onima Reddy and Dr. Vikram Singh

Abstract

The Purpose of the study was to find Correlation of Long Jump Performance and Selected Linear Kinematical Variables during Flight of Hang Style Technique in Long Jump.

Selection of Subjects: Ten male (national/inter university level) long jumpers of from Banaras Hindu University Varanasi and Diesel Locomotive Workshop Varanasi were selected and their age ranging between 16 to 28 year.

Selection of Variables

Anthropometrical Variables: Height, Weight, and Hand Length, Fore Arm Length, Upper Arm Length, Fore Leg Length, Upper Leg Length and Foot Length.

Linear Kinematical Variables: Height of centre of gravity of the body at take-off of hang style technique in long jump, Height of centre of gravity of the body during flight of hang style technique in long jump, Height of centre of gravity of the body at landing of hang style technique in long jump, Highest performance of the subject in hang style technique in long jump, Length of last stride before take-off of hang style technique in long jump, and speed of the subject (take-off to landing) of hang style technique in long jump.

Angular Kinematical Variables: Ankle Joint (Angle of left & Right ankle joint), Knee joint (Angle of left & Right knee joint), Hip joint (Angle of left & Right hip joint), Shoulder joint (Angle of left & Right shoulder joint), Elbow joint (Angle of left & Right elbow joint), Wrist joint (Angle of left & Right wrist joint), Angle of trunk inclination and Angle of Head Inclination.

Statistical Technique: To kinematical analyze of hang style technique in long jump and to determine the key components of hang style technique in long jump, descriptive statistic was used. To find out correlation between dependent variable (long jump performance) and independent variables (selected linear & angular kinematical variables) during Flight of hang style technique in long jump, Pearson correlation was used. The level of significance was set at 0.05. The data was analyzed by applying SPSS17-Version.

Conclusions: mean, standard deviation, scores of angular kinematics variables in degree at flight in hang style Technique have been found as follow: Left Ankle Angle (113.90 ± 18.57), Right Ankle Angle (111.20 ± 17.81), Left Knee Angle (73.50 ± 28.70), Right Knee Angle (77.30 ± 26.28), Left Hip Angle (154.40 ± 63.35), Right Hip Angle (156.60 ± 39.69), Left Shoulder Angle (124.60 ± 63.45), Right Shoulder Angle (125.40 ± 55.98), Left Elbow Angle (156.90 ± 26.28), Right Elbow Angle (166.60 ± 19.42), Left Wrist Angle (162 ± 18.01), Right Wrist Angle (183.30 ± 31.92), Trunk Inclination Angle (7.10 ± 2.77), Head Inclination Angle (8.40 ± 5.90) respectively. In case of flight in hang style technique of long jump, insignificance difference was found between angular kinematical variables and with the performance of long jumpers.

Keywords: Kinematical analysis, hang style technique

Introduction

“Biomechanics may be defined as the science, which deals with the application of mechanical laws to living being especially to the locomotor system. The sports biomechanics may also be defined as the science, which examine the internal and external forces acting on the athlete and the athletic implements in use and the effects produced by these forces.”

The long jump is the only known jumping event of Ancient Greece's original Olympics' pentathlon events. All events that occurred at the Olympic Games were initially supposed to act as a form of training for warfare. The long jump emerged probably because it mirrored the

Corresponding Author:
Dr. T Onima Reddy
Associate Professors,
Department of Physical
Education, BHU, Varanasi,
Uttar Pradesh, India

crossing of obstacles such as streams and ravines. After investigating the surviving depictions of the ancient event it is believed that unlike the modern day event, athletes were only allowed a short running start. The athletes carried a weight in each hand, which were called *halteres* (between 1 and 4.5kg). These weights were swung forward as the athlete jumped in order to increase momentum. It is commonly believed that the jumper would throw the weights behind him in mid-air to increase his forward momentum; however, halteres were held throughout the duration of the jump. Swinging them down and back at the end of the jump would change the athlete's center of gravity and allow the athlete to stretch his legs outward, increasing his distance. The jump itself was made from the bator ("that which is trod upon"). It was most likely a simple board placed on the stadium track which was removed after the event (Miller, 66). The jumpers would land in what was called a skamma ("dug-up" area) (Miller, 66). The idea that this was a pit full of sand is wrong. Sand in the jumping pit is a modern invention (Miller, 66). The skamma was simply a temporary area dug up for that occasion and not something that remained over time. The long jump was considered one of the most difficult of the events held at the Games since a great deal of skill was required. Music was often played during the jump and Philostratus says that pipes at times would accompany the jump so as to provide a rhythm for the complex movements of the halteres by the athlete. Philostratos is quoted as saying, "The rules regard jumping as the most difficult of the competitions, and they allow the jumper to be given advantages in rhythm by the use of the flute, and in weight by the use of the halter." (Miller, 67). Most notable in the ancient sport was a man called *Chionis*, who in the 656BC Olympics staged a jump of 7.05 metres (23 feet and 1.7 inches). The long jumper is a sprinter first and foremost. You must control your speed down the runway to hit the takeoff board at the right moment to propel up and forward. The farther you jump into the pit, the better. Stepping over the front of the takeoff board will lead to a disqualification. Please do not start training for the event without the help of a coach. The statement of the problem was stated as "Correlation of Long Jump Performance and Selected Linear Kinematical Variables during Flight of Hang Style Technique in Long Jump".

Objectives of the Study

First objective of the study was to kinematical analyzed of hang style technique in long jump. Second objective of the study was to find out the correlation between dependent variable (long jump performance) and independent variables (selected angular kinematical variables) during Flight of hang style technique in Long Jump.

Research Methodology

Selection of Subjects

Ten male (national/inter university level) long jumpers of from Banaras Hindu University Varanasi and Diesel Locomotive Workshop Varanasi were selected and their age ranging between 16 to 28 year. The purpose of the study was explained to the subjects and requested to jump in their best effort during each attempt.

Selection of Variables

The following Anthropometrical and kinematic (Linear and Angular) variables were selected for the purpose of this study:

Anthropometrical Variables: Height, Weight, Hand Length, Fore Arm Length, Upper Arm Length, Fore Leg Length, Upper Leg Length and Foot Length.

Linear Kinematical Variables: Height of centre of gravity of the body at take-off of hang style technique in long jump, Height of centre of gravity of the body during flight of hang style technique in long jump, Height of centre of gravity of the body at landing of hang style technique in long jump, Highest performance of the subject in hang style technique in long jump, Length of last stride before take-off of hang style technique in long jump, and speed of the subject (take-off to landing) of hang style technique in long jump.

Angular Kinematical Variables: Ankle Joint (Angle of left & Right ankle joint), Knee joint (Angle of left & Right knee joint), Hip joint (Angle of left & Right hip joint), Shoulder joint (Angle of left & Right shoulder joint), Elbow joint (Angle of left & Right elbow joint), Wrist joint (Angle of left & Right wrist joint), Angle of trunk inclination and Angle of Head Inclination.

Criterion Measures

Criterion Measures adopted for the study were as follows: Age of the Subject was measured in Years as Chronological Age, Height of the subject was measured by Anthropometric Rod in meter, Weight of the subject was measured by Portable Weighing Machine in kilogram, Different lengths of body parts was measured with help of Sliding Caliper/Steel Tape in Cms/Inches, Height of centre of gravity of different phases of hang style technique in long jump was measured by segmentation method as suggested by Games G. Hay in meter, Speed of subject of hang style technique in long jump was measured by Cinematography in meter/second, & Length of last Stride was measured by Cinematography in meter, highest performance of the subject was measured by Non Stretchable Tape in meter and Angle of angular kinematical variables of different phases of hang style technique in long jump was measured by Max Traq 2 D/Silicon Coach Pro-7 Motion Analysis Software in degree.

Max Traq 2 D/Silicon coach pro-7 motion analysis software was use for Kinematical analysis of hang style technique in long jump. The centre of gravity of the subject at the time of different phases of hang style technique by segmentation method as suggested by Games G. Hay was recorded.

Statistical Technique

The following statistical technique was employed: To kinematical analyze of hang style technique in long jump and to determine the key components of hang style technique in long jump, descriptive statistic was used. To find out correlation between dependent variable (long jump performance) and independent variables (selected linear & angular kinematical variables) during Flight of hang style technique in long jump, Pearson correlation was used. The level of significance was set at 0.05. The data was analyzed by applying SPSS17-Version.

Result and Discussion

Descriptive statistics was computed to determine and analyze the angular kinematical variables at different phases of hang style technique in long jump and result pertaining to same has been presented in table no-1.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Male Long Jumpers in Relation to Angular Kinematical Variables during Flight in Hang Style Technique

Variables	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	Range	Min.	Max.	Sum	skewness	kurtosis
Left Ankle Angle in degree	113.90	18.57	5.87	65	65	130	1139	-2.32	6.38
Right Ankle Angle in degree	111.20	17.81	5.63	52	88	140	1112	.074	-1.185
Left Knee Angle in degree	73.50	28.70	9.07	87	35	122	735	.717	-.195
Right Knee Angle in degree	77.30	26.28	8.31	66	38	104	773	-.779	-1.33
Left Hip Angle in degree	154.40	63.35	20.03	182	35	217	1544	-.929	-.300
Right Hip Angle in degree	156.60	39.69	12.54	107	101	208	1566	-.075	-1.498
Left Shoulder Angle in degree	124.60	63.45	20.66	209	37	246	1246	.503	-.238
Right Shoulder Angle in degree	125.40	55.98	17.70	196	16	212	1254	-.566	.470
Left Elbow Angle in degree	156.90	26.28	8.31	75	125	200	1569	.327	-1.159
Right Elbow Angle in degree	166.60	19.42	6.14	58	137	195	1666	.224	-1.090
Left Wrist Angle in degree	162.00	18.01	5.70	58	131	189	1620	-.049	-.685
Right Wrist Angle in degree	183.30	31.92	10.09	98	136	234	1833	.116	-.773
Trunk Inclination Angle in degree	7.10	2.77	0.87	11	2	13	71	.500	2.760
Head Inclination Angle in degree	8.40	5.90	1.88	16	2	18	84	.538	-1.093

It is evident from table-1 that mean, standard deviation, scores of angular kinematics variables in degree during flight in hang style technique have been found as follow: Left Ankle Angle (113.90 \pm 18.57), Right Ankle Angle (111.20 \pm 17.81), Left Knee Angle (73.50 \pm 28.70), Right Knee Angle (77.30 \pm 26.28), Left Hip Angle (154.40 \pm 63.35), Right Hip Angle (156.60 \pm 39.69), Left Shoulder Angle (124.60 \pm 63.45), Right Shoulder Angle (125.40 \pm 55.98), Left Elbow Angle (156.90 \pm 26.28), Right Elbow Angle (166.60 \pm 19.42), Left Wrist Angle (162 \pm 18.01), Right Wrist Angle (183.30 \pm 31.92), Trunk Inclination Angle (7.10 \pm 2.77), Head Inclination Angle (8.40 \pm 5.90) respectively whereas standard Error and Range of scores was found as follow: Left Ankle Angle (5.87 & 65), Right Ankle Angle (5.63 & 52), Left Knee Angle (9.07 & 87),

Right Knee Angle (8.31 & 66), Left Hip Angle (20.03 & 182), Right Hip Angle (12.54 & 107), Left Shoulder Angle (20.66 & 209), Right Shoulder Angle (17.70 & 196), Left Elbow Angle (8.31 & 75), Right Elbow Angle (6.14 & 58), Left Wrist Angle (5.70 & 58), Right Wrist Angle (10.09 & 98), Trunk Inclination Angle (0.87 & 11) & Head Inclination Angle (1.88 & 16) respectively.

To determine the relationship of angular kinematical variables with the performance of long jump during Flight of hang style technique. The collected data was analyzed by using the correlation (Pearson Correlation) and results pertaining to that have been presented in table.

Table 2: Relationship of Angular Kinematical Variables with the Long Jump Performance at Different Phases of Hang Style Technique

	Correlation Coefficient (r)	
	During Flight	
Angular Kinematical Variables	Left Ankle Angle (degree)	-.215
	Right Ankle Angle (degree)	-.042
	Left Knee Angle (degree)	-.099
	Right Knee Angle (degree)	.018
	Left Hip Angle (degree)	.258
	Left Shoulder Angle (degree)	.190
	Right Hip Angle (degree)	.257
	Left Shoulder Angle (degree)	.408
	Right Shoulder Angle (degree)	-.165
	Left Elbow Angle (degree)	.265
	Right Elbow Angle (degree)	-.232
	Trunk Inclination Angle (degree)	.402
	Head Inclination Angle (degree)	-.069

*significant at 0.05 level

Coefficient of correlation required being significant at 8 degree of freedom (.632)

Table-2 also reveals that in case of in case of Left Ankle Angle (degree), Right Ankle Angle (degree), Left Knee Angle (degree), Right Knee Angle (degree), Left Hip Angle (degree), Right Hip Angle (degree), right shoulder angle (degree), Left Shoulder Angle (degree), Left Elbow Angle (degree), Right Elbow Angle (degree), Left Wrist Angle (degree), Right Wrist Angle (degree), Trunk Inclination Angle (degree) and Head Inclination Angle (degree) during Flight of Hang Style technique obtained values are lower than tabulated value of (.632) therefore it shows insignificant relationship of these independent variables with Long Jump Performance of subjects.

Discussion of Finding

The investigator analyzes Kinematical aspects of hang style technique in Long jump. In this regard, the results of the study shows that mean, standard deviation, scores of angular kinematics variables in degree at flight in hang style Technique have been found as follow: Left Ankle Angle (113.90 \pm 18.57), Right Ankle Angle (111.20 \pm 17.81), Left Knee Angle (73.50 \pm 28.70), Right Knee Angle (77.30 \pm 26.28), Left Hip Angle (154.40 \pm 63.35), Right Hip Angle (156.60 \pm 39.69), Left Shoulder Angle (124.60 \pm 63.45), Right Shoulder Angle (125.40 \pm 55.98), Left Elbow Angle (156.90 \pm 26.28), Right Elbow Angle (166.60 \pm 19.42), Left Wrist Angle (162 \pm 18.01), Right Wrist Angle (183.30 \pm 31.92), Trunk Inclination Angle (7.10 \pm 2.77), Head Inclination Angle (8.40 \pm 5.90) respectively.

The correlation (Pearson Correlation) technique was applied to determine the relationship of angular kinematical variables with the performance of long jump at different phases of hang style technique. From the results of the study it was quite revealed that in case of flight in hang style technique of long jump, insignificance difference was found between angular kinematical variables and with the performance of long jumpers.

Conclusions

On the basis of the findings of the study, the following conclusions are drawn:

1. Mean, standard deviation, scores of angular kinematics variables in degree at flight in hang style Technique have been found as follow: Left Ankle Angle (113.90 ± 18.57), Right Ankle Angle (111.20 ± 17.81), Left Knee Angle (73.50 ± 28.70), Right Knee Angle (77.30 ± 26.28), Left Hip Angle (154.40 ± 63.35), Right Hip Angle (156.60 ± 39.69), Left Shoulder Angle (124.60 ± 63.45), Right Shoulder Angle (125.40 ± 55.98), Left Elbow Angle (156.90 ± 26.28), Right Elbow Angle (166.60 ± 19.42), Left Wrist Angle (162 ± 18.01), Right Wrist Angle (183.30 ± 31.92), Trunk Inclination Angle (7.10 ± 2.77), Head Inclination Angle (8.40 ± 5.90) respectively.
2. In case of flight in hang style technique of long jump, insignificance difference was found between angular kinematical variables and with the performance of long jumpers.

References

1. Hay, James G. The Biomechanics of Sports Techniques (Fourth Edition). Prentice- Hall, Inc, Englewood Cliffs, 1993.
2. Jensen Clayne R, Schultz Gordon W. Applied Kinesiology-The Scientific Study of Human Performance. New York: Mc. Graw Hill, 1979.
3. McGinnis, Peter M. Biomechanics of Sports and Exercise (Second Edition). State University Of New York: College at Cortland, 2005.
4. Miller, Doris I, Nelson Richard C. Biomechanics of Sports- Research Approach. Henry Kimpton: U.S.A, 1973.
5. Nelson M. Biomechanics of Sports, Great Britain: London, Henry Kimpton, 1973.
6. Schmolinsky G. Track And Field (Second, Revised Edition). Sportverlag Berlin: German Democratic Rep, 1983.
7. Singh Hardayal. Science of Sports Training. New Delhi: DVS. Publication, 1991.
8. Verma J, Prakash. Statistical Methods for Sports and Physical Education. New Delhi: Tata McGraw Hill Education Private Limited, 2011.
9. Verma J. Prakash. Sports Statistics. Gwalior: Venus Publication, 2000.
10. Journals and Periodicals
11. Armanpatzis adamantios, walsh mark, *et al.* "Biomechanical analysis of the long jump at the world athletic championship Athens". Journal of sports science, 1997.
12. Bezodis E. "Understanding Elite Sprint Start Performance through an Analysis of Joint Kinematics", ISBS Conference Proceeding, Seoul, Korea, 2008, 498-500.
13. Gideon Ariel, Andrei Vorobiev *et al.* "Biomechanical Analysis of the World Record Long Jump". journal of biomechanics. 1993; 3:231-233.
14. Hay JG. "The biomechanics of the long jump" Journal of Biomechanics, 1986, 340-353.
15. Huang C, Tang RH. "Biomechanical Analysis of Standing Long Jump: A 3d Study" 27 International Conference on Biomechanics in Sports, 2009. ISSN 1999-4168.
16. Ikram Hussain, Asim Khan. "Analysis of Selected Kinematical Parameters of Two Different Level Male Long Jumpers") International Journal of Sports Science and Engineering. 2011; 5(4):213-218.
17. Jaw Wang, Hwai-Ting *et al.* "Biomechanical analysis of the standing long jump". American Journal of Human Biology, 2004, 322-323.
18. Koyama H, Muraki Y *et al.* "Effects of an inclined board as a training tool on the take-off motion of the long jump" Sports Biomechanics. 2005; 4(2):113.
19. Milan Matic, Vladimir Mrdakovic, Nenad Jankovic, (2012). "Active Landing and Take-off Kinematics of the Long Jump." -Physical Education and Sport. 2005; 10(3):243-256.
20. Philip Graham-Smitha, Adrian Lees. "A three-dimensional kinematic analysis of the long jump take-off." Journal of Sports Sciences. 2005; 23(9):891-903.
21. Seyfarth A, Friedrichs *et al.* "Dynamics of the long jump". Journal of biomechanics Dec. 1999; 32(12):1259-1267.
22. Thomas Jaitner, Luis Mendoza. "Analysis of the Long Jump Technique in the Transition from Approach to Takeoff Based on Time-Continuous Kinematic Data." European Journal of Sport Science, 2001, 1(5).
23. Timothy J, Koh, James G, Hay. "Landing Leg Motion and Performance in the Horizontal Jumps I: The Long Jump." International Journal of Sport Biomechanics. 1990; 6(4):361.
24. Vassilios Panoutsakopoulos, Georgios I, Papiakovou *et al.* "3D Biomechanical Analysis of the Preparation of the Long Jump Take-Off." Journal of Applied research. 2010; 1:55-68.
25. Kakihana W, Suzuki, S. "The EMG activity and mechanics of the running jump as a function of takeoff angle." Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology. 2001; 11(5):365-372.