



P-ISSN: 2394-1685
E-ISSN: 2394-1693
Impact Factor (ISRA): 5.38
IJPESH 2018; 5(3): 40-42
© 2018 IJPESH
www.kheljournal.com
Received: 10-03-2018
Accepted: 11-04-2018

Devanand P
Physical Education Teacher
Z.P.H.S Domata Medak,
Telangana, India

Karne Nagi Reddy
Physical Director, Agriculture
Polytechnic Kampasagar Prof.
Jayashankar Telangana State
Agriculture University,
Hyderabad, Telangana, India

A comparative study of anthropometric measurements between handball and volleyball players of Telangana state

Devanand P and Karne Nagi Reddy

Abstract

Anthropometric Measurement, Branch of science concerned with comparative measurements of the human body, its parts, and its proportions and composition. An anthropometric measurement is defined as dimension of the structure of the human body taken at specific sites to give measures of length, girth and width and subcutaneous fatty tissue. This was comparing the anthropometric variables between volleyball and hand ball players.

Keywords: Anthropometric measurement, arm length, forearm girth, calf girth, thigh girth etc.

Introduction

Sports have become the media of international relationship between the countries. Sports in the present day have become extremely competitive, previous records are being broken whenever there is competition. It is not mere participation or few days practice that brings an individual victory, but the continuous hard work of training right from childhood, a strong anthropometry and physiological variables may influenced.

Limitations of the Study

Non availability of sophisticated instruments for measuring anthropometric measurements and 16 Personality factors were considered as one of the limitation for the study. No special motivational techniques were used while conducting the tests was considered as limitation. Factors such as socioeconomic status, dietary habits, geographical variations, daily routine work, training, diet might have influenced the results which were not taken into consideration, which will be considered as another limitation.

Delimitations of the study

The study was delimited to a total of 96 players from hand ball and Volleyball games that have to be represented at university level. The age of the subjects chosen was 18 to 28 years. The following physical fitness, Anthropometric measurements, psychological Anthropometric Measurements

1. Arm Length 2. Forearm Girth 3. Calf Girth 4. Thigh Girth Hypotheses

The following hypotheses were formulated for empirical validation. It was hypothesized that There would not be any significant difference in selected anthropometric measurements between Handball and Volleyball university players.

Methodology

Selection of subjects

The purpose of the present study is to compare the selected, anthropometric measurements between volleyball and hand ball university players of Osmania University, Prof.Jayashankar agriculture telangana State University and Kakathiya University volleyball and handball teams. To achieve the purpose of the study, ninety six male players were selected at random from each category of Handball and Volleyball players, a total of 96 players in Telangana state, India, who had their credit in participating interuniversity tournaments during the academic year 2013-14 in their respective games.

Correspondence
Devanand P
Physical Education Teacher
Z.P.H.S Domata Medak,
Telangana, India

Selection of variables

Physical, anthropometric and psychological parameters are the ideal indicators of sports performance status of an individual. Even the slightest imbalance due to circadian variations may influence the level of performance. These parameters play an important role in almost all games and sports. Hence, the following variables were selected for this study Anthropometric Measurements

1. Arm Length 2. Forearm Girth 3. Calf Girth 4. Thigh Girth

Selection of Tests

The present study was undertaken primarily to compare the selected physical fitness, anthropometric measurements among selected university level Handball and Volleyball players of Telangana state, India. As per the available literatures, the following standardized tests were used to collect relevant data on the selected dependent variables and they were presented in the Table-1(a)

Table I(a): Tests Selection

S. no.	Criterion Variables Test Items	Unit of Anthropometric Measurements
1.	Arm Length	in Cms.
2.	Forearm Girth	in Cms.
3.	Calf Girth	in Cms.
4.	Thigh Girth	in Cms.

Research Design and Statistical Analysis

A two-sample t-test can only be used to assess the significance of the difference between the mean values of two independent groups. To compare differences in the mean values of three or more independent groups, Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) is used. Thus, ANOVA is suitable when the outcome measurement is a criterion variable and when the explanatory variable is categorical with three or more groups. A One-way ANOVA is used when the effect of only one categorical (outcome) is explored. The concept of ANOVA can be thought of as an extension of a two-sample t-test.

Analysis and Interpretation of the Data

The analysis of data and detailed results of the study have been discussed in this chapter. The purpose of the present study was to compare the selected anthropometric measurements variables between Handball and Volleyball university players of Telangana. To achieve this, ninety six male players were selected randomly from each category of Handball and Volleyball games, a total of 96 players in Osmania University, Prof. Jayashankar agriculture telangana state University and Kakathiya University teams of Telangana state, India, who had their credit in participating university tournaments during the academic year 2013-14 in their respective games. The probability level below which we reject the hypotheses is termed as level of significance. The 't' value obtained by 't' Test Analysis was compared at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of significance, which was considered as adequate. Percentile Analysis Percentile analysis one of the statistical measures used to describe the sample in terms of their demographic characteristics such as type of players and type of university. Distribution of sample based on the above mentioned demographic characteristics is given in the following tables

Table 1: Distribution of sample over type of sports players

Frequency	Percentage	Players
Hand ball	48	50.00
Volleyball	48	50.00
Total	96	100.00

Table shows that 48 (50.00%) of the subjects are the Hand ball players and 48 (50.00%) of the subjects are the volleyball players who had their credit in participating university tournaments during the academic year 2013-14 in their respective games were selected. The pie diagram shows the number of sample of Handball and Volleyball players involved in the present study. Inferential Statistics: 'T' Test The analysis was carried out through various statistical techniques such as the descriptive and 't' test analysis. The data were compiled and analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS Version 16.5) for Windows XP Software. Hypotheses regarding compare the Handball and Volleyball university players' physical fitness, anthropometrical and 16 personality factors were tested and the findings of testing these hypotheses were presented. Each hypothesis tested is followed by a summary of testing that hypothesis was also presented. Finally, the summary of findings to research questions was presented. Testing of Hypotheses There was no significant difference between Handball and Volleyball Players on selected Anthropometric

Table 1: Table showing the Mean scores, Standard Deviation and 't' value of the Forearm Girth of the university Handball and Volleyball players.

Forearm Girth (In Cms.)	Hand ball Players	Volley ball Players
Mean	25.854	26.437
Standard Deviation	1.700	1.687
'T' value	1.68NS NS	

Not Significant

The formulated hypothesis is that "there is a significant difference in the Forearm Girth between Handball and Volleyball university players", hence, the collected data was applied to the statistical techniques to find out the significant difference in the Forearm Girth between Handball and Volleyball university players. The calculated mean scores, standard deviation of Handball players are 25.854 and 1.700 respectively and mean scores and standard deviation of Volleyball players are 26.437 and 1.687 respectively. The calculated 't' value 1.68 is less than the table value 1.98 at 0.05 level. Hence, the stated hypothesis accepted for the said criterion variable that "there is no significant difference in the Forearm Girth between Handball and Volleyball university players." The both players had similar forearm girth.

Table 2: Table showing the Mean scores, Standard Deviation, and 't' value of the Arm Length of the university Handball and Volleyball players.

Arm Length (In Cms.)	Handball Players	Volleyball Players
Mean	80.000	81.895
Standard Deviation	3.913	3.465
't' value	2.51	

Significant at 0.05 levels

The formulated hypothesis is that “there is a significant difference in the Arm Length between Handball and Volleyball university players”, hence, the collected data was applied to the statistical techniques to find out the significant difference in the Arm Length between university Handball and Volleyball players. The calculated mean scores and standard deviation of Handball players are 80.000 and 3.913 respectively and mean scores and standard deviation of Volleyball players are 81.895 and 3.465 respectively. The calculated ‘t’ value 2.51 is greater than the table value 1.98 at 0.05 level. Hence the stated hypothesis for the said criterion variable is rejected and an alternative hypothesis has been accepted that “there is a significant difference in the Arm Length between Handball and Volleyball university players.” The volleyball players had more Arm length than Handball players.

Table 3: Table showing the Mean scores, Standard Deviation and ‘t’ value of the Calf Girth of the university Handball and Volleyball players.

Calf Girth (In Cms.)	Handball Players	Volleyball Players
Mean	35.291	34.833
Standard Deviation	2.534	2.486
‘T’ value	0.89NS	

NS Not Significant The formulated hypothesis is that “there is a significant difference in the Calf Girth between Handball and Volleyball university players, hence, the collected data was applied to the statistical techniques to find out the significant difference in the Calf Girth between university Handball and Volleyball players. The calculated mean scores and standard deviation of Handball players are 35.291 and 2.534 respectively and mean scores and standard deviation of Volleyball players are 34.833 and 2.486 respectively. The calculated ‘t’ value 0.89 is less than the table value 1.98 at 0.05 level. Hence the stated hypothesis for said criterion variable is accepted that “there is no significant difference in the Calf Girth between Handball and Volleyball university players.” The both players had similar calf girth.

Table 4: Table showing the Mean scores, Standard Deviation and ‘t’ value of the Thigh Girth of the university Hand ball and Volleyball players.

Thigh Girth (In Cms.)	Hand ball Players	Volleyball Players
Mean	52.625	51.437
Standard Deviation	4.408	3.825
‘t’ value	1.41NS	

NS Not Significant

The formulated hypothesis is that there is a significant difference in the Thigh Girth between Handball and Volleyball university players”, hence, the collected data was applied to the statistical techniques to find out the significant difference in the Thigh Girth between university Handball and Volleyball players. The calculated mean scores and standard deviation of Handball players are 52.625 and 4.408 respectively and mean scores and standard deviation of Volleyball players are 51.437 and 3.825 respectively. The calculated ‘t’ value 1.41 is less than the table value 1.98 at 0.05 level. Hence the stated hypothesis for said criterion variable is accepted that “there is no significant difference in the Thigh Girth between Handball and Volleyball university players.” The both players had similar thigh girth.

Discussion and conclusion

The Volleyball players showed markedly greater standing height, forearm length and arm length than Handball players. It may be concluded that arm anthropometric information would have vast studios assessment in the identification of sport talents in overhead games such as Handball and Volleyball. In volleyball, teams compete by manipulating skills of spiking and blocking high above the head. In Handball, players try to carry the ball by dribbling and passing among a group of teammates and opponents and score goals in a goal post area. Since both games require handling the ball above the head, height is considered to be the most important physical attribute. Some authors have suggested the height as an important condition of sports talent in such events that require height and the presence of tall players is an indispensable element in success as a team. In the study, significantly lesser height among the inter-university Hand ball players might be disadvantageous for them in attaining a good jumping height as their center of gravity would be comparatively lower. In tall players, proportionally longer extremities are beneficial to reach the goal area. Some authors opined the height as an important condition of sports talents in such events that require it, and the presence of tall players was an indispensable element in success as a team. Even though no significant difference was observed in the values of body weight, leg length and forearm, calf and thigh girths between the two groups. The possible reason could be explained in terms of nature and skills of the game

References

1. Adel Mirzaei, Reza Nikbakhsh, Farideh Sharififar. The Relationship between Personality Traits and Sport Performance, European Journal of Experimental Biology. 2013; 3(3):439-442.
2. Aldijana Muratovic, Dobrislav Vujovic, Rasid Hadzic. Comparative Study of Anthropometric Measurement and Body Composition between Elite Handball and Basketball Players. Monten, J Sports Sci Med. 2014; 3(2):19-22.
3. Brij Bhushan Singh, Mohd Khalid Khan. A Comparative Study on Thigh and Lower Leg Length of High and Low Performance Volleyball Players. International Journal of Physical Education, Health and Social Science. 2014; 3(1):1-4.
4. Cattell RB. 16 P.F., Form A, Institute of Personality and Ability Testing Inc, 1978.
5. Dalbara Singh, Agyajit Singh. A Comparative Study of Sports Personology of Indian Sports-Persons at Different Level of Participation, International Journal of Behavioral Social and Movement Sciences. 2013; 02(02):11-18.
6. Kamlesh ML, Sangaral MS. Principle and History of Physical Education, Ludhiyana: Prakash Brothers, ISSN 2231-3265 International Journal of Health, Physical Education and Computer Science in Sports Publication Impact Factor 5.115(UGC App 1985; 29(1):5-8