



International Journal of Physical Education, Sports and Health

P-ISSN: 2394-1685
E-ISSN: 2394-1693
Impact Factor (ISRA): 4.69
IJPESH 2015; 2(2): 86-88
© 2015 IJPESH
www.kheljournal.com
Received: 21-09-2015
Accepted: 21-10-2015

Vaibhav Rai
Assistant Professor Department
of Physical Education
SGGS Khalsa College Mahilpur
Punjab India.

Lalit Mohan Tiwari
Assistant Professor Department
of Physical Education Punjabi
University Patiala Punjab India.

Diurnal variation on the performance of selected motor fitness components of volleyball Players

Vaibhav Rai, Lalit Mohan Tiwari

Abstract

Purpose: Diurnal variation is the fluctuations of anything which is happening or occurring during day light. The purpose of the study was to investigate “Diurnal variation in selected motor fitness components of university level male volleyball players.”

Method: The study was conducted on 30 male volleyball players of Punjab India, age ranging from 18 to 24 years. The subjects were selected from volleyball match practice group. The data was collected by administration of standard test for selected motor components. The subjects were tested two times (one time in morning (between 7 AM to 9 AM) and one time in evening (between 5 PM to 7 PM)). The standing broad jump test is used for measuring explosive strength, 30 yard dash test is used for measuring speed ability and Illinois agility test is used for measuring agility of the subjects. It was hypothesized that diurnal variation would significantly affect the performance of the subjects on selected motor fitness components. The data collected on motor fitness components was analyzed by dependent “t” test. The level of significance for testing the hypothesis was set at 0.05 level of confidence.

Findings: The results have shown that no significant time of day (diurnal variation) effect was found for explosive strength ($t_{cal}=0.72 < t_{tab}=1.671$) and the mean values of explosive strength in morning and evening were 2.36 mt and 2.38 mt respectively. No significant time of day (diurnal variation) effect was found for speed ability ($t_{cal}=0.06 < t_{tab}=1.671$) and the agility ($t_{cal}=0.71 < t_{tab}=1.671$). The mean values of speed in morning and evening were 4.31 seconds and 4.31 seconds respectively and the mean values of agility in morning and evening were 18.20 seconds and 17.83 seconds respectively. The result showed that diurnal variation does not affect the performance of volleyball players on selected motor fitness components.

Keywords: Diurnal variation, Time of day, Motor fitness, Dependent t test, Volleyball players

1. Introduction

The performance of an individual is the result of the integrated and harmonious functioning of the several dynamic process of the body which are physiological, psychological, and psycho-physiological and are biochemical in nature. Along with these, the environmental conditions such as climate, altitude, temperature seasons etc. may also have their effect on performance of an individual. Variation in the daily rhythm of the functional capacity of different systems which are synchronized to a 24 hour day, observe two peculiar aspects. One of them is the prime dependent alteration in the levels of physiological process, expressed as circadian range or circadian amplitude. Human have ingrained timing mechanisms where by cycles in physiological functions coincide with the period of light and darkness. The diurnal variation (The daily rhythm, which is a major source of variability in performance) may disturb all the components of athlete. Thus training of the athletic performance seems to be an important factor which needs careful investigation. Diurnal variation is the fluctuations of anything which is happening or occurring during daylight.

Numerous studies have demonstrated the existence of diurnal variation in human physical performance especially for athletes in training and competition. Souissi *et al.* (2004)^[9] studied the time of day effect on anaerobic performance and oral temperature using force-velocity test and Wingate test and reported that body temperature, maximal power, peak power and mean power varied concomitantly during the day. For endurance performance, hamstring flexibility and isometric strength of quadriceps and handgrip time of day effect were observed. Kline *et al.* (2007)^[8] examined the circadian rhythm in swim performance across 8 times of day independent of environmental and behavioral masking effects such as sleep, ambient temperature and energy intake.

Correspondence

Vaibhav Rai
Assistant Professor Department
of Physical Education
SGGS Khalsa College Mahilpur
Punjab India.

They reported a significant pattern in swim performance relative to both environmental and circadian time of day. Brisswalter J. *et al.* (2007) [2] studied the effect of both an active warm up and the diurnal increase in body temperature on muscular power. 8 male subject performed maximal cycling sprint in the morning (7 to 9 AM) and afternoon (5 to 7 PM) either after active warm up or controlled condition. Muscular power was higher in the afternoon than in the morning. Muscular power was higher after active warm up than in controlled condition. Deschenes *et al.* (1998) [5] observed the same pattern for maximal aerobic exercise performance. Atkinson and Spears (1998) [1] assessed diurnal variation in tennis service and reported that the time of day affected the performance of tennis serves. Gintchin L.D (1998) [7] studied diurnal variation in strength and endurance performance among resistance trained males. The maximal strength and muscular endurance performance do not appear to be greatly affected by time during the day when measured in the resistance trained individuals. Dalton *et al.* (1997) [4] indicated that while some biological rhythms are presented, VO max was not affected by circadian rhythms.

2 Methods

2.1 Subjects

30 male volleyball players, age ranging from 18 to 24 years were randomly selected from volleyball match practice group of Punjab India. All subjects filled out a consent form. Subjects were non-smokers and they did not use any form of oral ergogenic aids or supplementations at least for 6 months before the study.

2.2 Hypothesis

It was hypothesized that diurnal variation would significantly affect the performance of the subjects on selected motor fitness components.

2.3 Collection of data

The subjects were tested two times (one time in morning (between 7 AM to 9 AM) and one time in evening (between 5 PM to 7 PM)). Necessary instructions were being passed on to the subject before the administration of test.

2.4 Criterion Measures

The following tests were selected and their scores were considered as criterion measures for this study: -

- Explosive strength was measured by standing broad jump performance was recorded in meters.
- Speed was measured by 30 yard dash test and performance was recorded in nearest 1/10 of the second.
- Agility was measured by Illinois agility test and performance was recorded in nearest 1/10 of the seconds.

2.5 Administration of the test

2.5.1 Standing Broad Jump

Purpose: The aim of this test is to determine Explosive Power of the Legs.

Equipment required: Measuring tape, non-slip floor for takeoff and soft landing area preferred.

Description / Procedure: The athlete will stands behind a line marked on the ground with feet slightly apart. A two foot take-off and landing is used, with swinging of the arms and bending of the knees to provide forward drive. The subject attempts to jump as far as possible with landing on both feet without falling backwards. Three attempts are allowed.

Scoring: The measurement will be taken from take-off line to the nearest point of contact on the landing (back of the heels). Record the longest distance jumped, the best of three attempts.

2.5.2 30 yard Dash

Purpose: The aim of this test is to determine speed of the subject.

Equipment Required: Measuring tape, stopwatch, and flat surface of at least 50 yards.

Description / Procedure: The test involves running a single maximum sprint over 30 yards, with the time recorded. A thorough warm up should be given, including some practice starts and accelerations. The athlete will stands behind a line marked on track. On clapper, He will start from a stationary position.

2.5.3 Illinois Agility Test:

Purpose: The aim of this test is to determine the athlete's agility.

Equipment Required: Flat Non-Slip Surface, Marking Cones, Stopwatch, Measuring Tape.

Description: The length of the course is 10 meters and the width (distance between the start and finish points) is 5 meters. Four cones are used to mark the start, finish and the two turning points. Another four cones are placed down the center an equal distance apart. Each cone in the center is spaced 3.3 meters apart.

Procedure: Subjects should lie on their front On the 'Go' command the stopwatch is started, and the athlete gets up as quickly as possible and runs around the course in the direction indicated, without knocking the cones over, to the finish line, at which the timing is stopped.




Fig 1: Illinois Agility Test

2.6 Statistical Analysis

In this study, SPSS was used to analyze the data. A paired sample t-test was used to compare diurnal variation between the two phases of the day. The data collected on motor fitness components was analyzed by dependent "t" test. The level of significance for testing the hypothesis was set at 0.05 level of significance ($p < 0.05$).

2.7 Tester's Competency and Reliability of test

Tester's Competency was established by test retest method whereas consistency of result was obtained by product moment correlation. The data collected from a random selection of male volleyball players by test- re-test process were computed for each variable and are presented in Table-1.

Table 1: Relationships of co-efficient of test re-test Scores

| S. No. | Test items | Co-efficient of test re-test |
|--------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| 1- | Explosive strength | 0.92 |
| 2- | Speed | 0.93 |
| 3- | Agility | 0.89 |

3. Findings

The Mean \pm SD values of the dependent variables in both the time of day are given in Table 2.

Table 2: The Mean \pm SD values for Motor Fitness Components

| Variables | Morning (7 AM to 9 AM) | Evening (5 PM to 7 PM) |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Explosive Strength (m) | 2.36 \pm 0.14 | 2.38 \pm 0.19 |
| Speed (s) | 4.31 \pm 0.20 | 4.31 \pm 0.22 |
| Agility (s) | 18.20 \pm 0.99 | 17.83 \pm 3.12 |

Findings pertaining to each of the selected variables in different time of day which were subjected to the 't' ratio has been given in Table 3.

Table 3: Significant Difference of the Mean of Motor Fitness Components on Different Time of Day

| Variables | N | Mean \pm SD (Morning) | Mean \pm SD(Evening) | t value |
|--------------------|----|-------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Explosive Strength | 30 | 2.36 \pm 0.14 | 2.38 \pm 0.19 | 0.72 |
| Speed | 30 | 4.31 \pm 0.20 | 4.31 \pm 0.22 | 0.06 |
| Agility | 30 | 18.20 \pm 0.99 | 17.83 \pm 3.12 | 0.71 |

Table 2 reveals that mean and standard deviation with regard to explosive strength in the morning and evening, which were recorded 2.36 \pm 0.14 and 2.38 \pm 0.19 respectively. Whereas "t" value was calculated t-value = 0.72, it is less than the table value (t = 1.671). So the results showed that there has been no significant effect of diurnal variation on explosive strength between different times of day.

It again reveals that mean and standard deviation with regard to Speed in the morning and evening, which were recorded 4.31 \pm 0.20 and 4.31 \pm 0.22 respectively. Whereas "t" value was calculated t-value = 0.06, it is less than the table value (t = 1.671). So the results showed that there has been no significant effect of diurnal variation on speed between different times of day.

At the last it reveals that mean and standard deviation with regard to Agility in the morning and evening, which were recorded 18.20 \pm 0.99 and 17.83 \pm 3.12 respectively. Whereas "t" value was calculated t-value = 0.71, it is less than the table value (t = 1.671). So the results showed that there has been no significant effect of diurnal variation on Agility between different times of day.

4. Discussion

The analysis of data reveals that no significant effect of diurnal variation on explosive strength, speed and agility was found at the 0.05 level of significance, which establishes that diurnal variation, does not affect the performance of athlete on different motor fitness components. It may be due to the

training session of volleyball players, because all the players participate in training sessions during morning and evening, that is why they had given same performance in the morning and evening. Man's performance in sports or any other field depends on his movement- oriented behavior- All these actions which can be noted by other with or without the aid of instruments and which have their roots in the biological phenomenon. In other words the performance of an individual is the result of the integrated and harmonious functioning of the several dynamic process of the body, which are physiological, psychological and psycho-physiological and are biomechanical in nature. But the research studies showed that the diurnal variation significantly affect the performance of volleyball players on selected motor fitness components.

5. Discussion of Hypothesis

In the light of findings of the study, the hypothesis that diurnal variation would significantly affect the performance of the subjects on selected motor fitness components was rejected.

6. Conclusions

Within the limitations of the study, it is concluded that, the diurnal variation does not affect the performance of volleyball players on explosive strength, speed and agility and the volleyball players showed same speed, agility and explosive strength performance during different time of day.

7. References

1. Atkinson G, L Speirs. Diurnal variation in tennis service. *Percept Mot. Skills* 1998; 86:1335-1338.
2. Brisswalter J, Bieuzen F. Morning to Evening differences in oxygen uptake kinetics in short duration cycling exercise, *chronobiology international* 2007; 24(3):495-507.
3. Conroy RTWL, OM Brien. Diurnal variation in athletic performance, *J Physiol.* 1974; 236:251.
4. Dalton B, Mc Neughton L, Davoren B. Circadian rhythms have no effect on cycling performance, *Intl. J Sports Med.* 1997; 18:538-542.
5. Deschenes MR, Schorma JV, Brittingham KT, Casa DJ, Armstrong LE, Maresh CM. Chronobiological effects on exercise performance and add to marked selected physiological responses. *European Journal of Physiology.* 1998; 77:249-256.
6. Drust B, J Waterhouse, B Edwards, T Reilly. Circadian variation in sports performance-an update. *Chronobiol. Intl* 2005; 22:21-44.
7. Gintchin LD. Diurnal variation in strength and endurance performance among resistance trained males, *Medicine and science in sports and exercise* 1998; 74:229-235.
8. Kline CE, JL Durstine, JM Davis, TA Moore, TM Devline, MR. Zielinski, SD Youngstedt. Circadian variation in swim performance, *J Applied Physiol.* 2007; 102:641-649.
9. Souissi N, A Gauthier, B Sesboüe, J Larue, D Davenne. Circadian rhythms in two types of anaerobic cycle leg exercise: Force-velocity and 30-Wingate test, *Intl. J Sports Med.* 2004; 25:14-19.