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Abstract
The purpose of this study was to find out the compare and analyse of Team effectiveness Self-concept among Badminton, Basketball and Football players. To achieve the purpose of the study, 90 subjects (30 players from each game) were selected randomly as subjects. The age of the subjects ranged from 18 to 25 years. The static group comparison design was used for this Study. All the subjects responded to the questionnaire to assess the psychological variables. The collected data from the two factors, namely, game and groups were statistically analysed by using two-way (3x4) factorial Analysis of Variance (ANOVA).
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1. Introduction
Sports performance has dramatically progressed over the past few decades. Performance levels that are unimaginable and unmatchable in earlier days are now common and the number of athletes capable of outstanding results is increasing. One among the contributing factors is that sports is a challenging field and intense motivation has encouraged long and hard hours of work. Also, coaching has become more sophisticated, partially from the assistance of Sports Specialists and Scientists. Sports Sciences have progressed from descriptive to scientific. Bompa (1999) opines that a broader base of knowledge about sports existing now is reflected in training methodology.

Sports in the present world have become extremely competitive. It is not the mere participation or practice that brings out victory to an individual. All the coaches, trainers, Physical education personnel and doctors are doing their best to improve the performance of the players of their country. Supplementing this, Ghuman and Dhillan (2000) add that, Athletes/players of all the countries are trying hard to bring laurels/medals for their countries in International competitions.

Today’s athletes face some unique challenges. The standards are higher, the competition is tougher, and the stakes are greater. Among the best, preparation is more complete, and the psychological component is more important than ever before.

‘Terry Orlick (1986) is critical while driving home’, a pint that high performance amateur athletes of yester years may have been able to distinguish themselves in competitions without developing a highly refined mental game plan, but to hope for that today is much like hoping that God will come down during the time to tell you how to turn a game around.

2. Purpose of the Study
The purpose of this study was to find out the compare and analyse of Team effectiveness Self-concept among Badminton, Basketball and Football players.

3. Methodology
The purpose of this study was to find out the compare and analyse of Team effectiveness Self-concept among Badminton, Basketball and Football players. To achieve the purpose of the study, 90 subjects (30 players from each game) were selected randomly as subjects. The age of the subjects ranged from 18 to 25 years. The static group comparison design was used for this Study. All the subjects responded to the questionnaire to assess the psychological variables.
The collected data from the two factors, namely, game and groups were statistically analysed by using two-way (3x4) factorial Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

4. Results

Table I: The Mean and Standard Deviation on Self-Concept of Badminton, Basketball and Football Players

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>Group III</th>
<th>Group IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Badminton</td>
<td>17.20</td>
<td>13.63</td>
<td>14.70</td>
<td>15.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>17.53</td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>16.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>17.03</td>
<td>15.73</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>14.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Scores on Self-concept are expressed in points)

The mean values of Badminton, Basketball and Football among Four groups on Self-concept.

Table II: Two-Factor Anova on Self-Concept of Badminton, Basketball and Football among Four Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variance</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Squares</th>
<th>Obtained F-ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A factor (Games)</td>
<td>35.56</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17.78</td>
<td>4.85*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B factor (Four groups)</td>
<td>103.23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>34.41</td>
<td>9.30*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB factor (Interaction) (Games and four groups)</td>
<td>427.78</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>71.30</td>
<td>19.45*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error I</td>
<td>1275.43</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at 0.05 level of confidence

(Table values required for significance at 0.05 level with df2 & 348; 3 & 348; and 6 & 348 are 3.03, 2.64 and 2.13 respectively.)

From the above Table, the obtained F-ratio for Factor A(games) is 4.85, which is greater than the table value of 3.03 with df2 and 348 required for significance at 0.05 level of confidence. The result of the study indicates that there is a significant difference between the paired means of Factor A (Games) on Self-concept.

As three games and four groups were compared and the result of the study indicates that there is significant difference between the paired means of games within Group I; games within Group II; and games within Badminton; four groups within Basketball; and four groups within Football on Self-concept. Football players were found better than the Basketball and Badminton players in Group I. Football players were found better than the Badminton and Basketball players in Group II. Football players were found better than the Badminton and Basketball players in Group III and Group IV. Group I were performed better than the Group III, Group IV, Badminton and Basketball players in Group III and Group IV. Football players were better than the Basketball and Badminton players. Group II football players were performed better than the Group IV, Group III, and Group I. Group I Basketball players were good when compared to the Group II, Group III and Group IV players.

5. Findings

In self-concept, Badminton players were found better than the Basketball and Football players in Group I. Football players were found better than the Basketball and Badminton players in Group II. Football players were found better than the Badminton and Basketball players in Group III and Group IV. Group I were performed better than the Group III, Group IV and Group II Badminton players. Group II football players were performed better than the Group IV, Group III, and Group I. Group I Basketball players were good when compared to the Group II, Group III and Group IV players.

6. Conclusions

1. There was a significant difference among Badminton, Basketball and Football as well as among four states such as four groups on the self-concept.
2. There was no significant difference among three games and four groups on sharing.
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